Document 24-2

Filed 06/30/2008

Page 1 of 15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	Index No. 10 6054 /07.
Flaintiff;	Plaintiff designates NEW YORK COUNTY as the place of Trial
- against -	The basis of the venue is situs of accident
NEW YORK UNIVERSITY and JANE DOE - Student,	SUMMONS
Defendants.	
To the above named Defendants	Plaintiff resides at 450 6th Avenue Patterson, NJ 07507

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Plaintiff's Attorney within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York): and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded herein.

Dated: May 2, 2007

JOSEPH T. MULLEN, JR. & ASSOCIATES

Thomas M. DeSimone

Defendant's Address:

Attorneys for Plaintiff 30 Vesey Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10007

(212) 766-1177

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY 25 West Fourth Street New York, New York 10012 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE 14 U 3 2001

The nature of this action is set forth in the Complaint.

Upon your failure to appear, judgment will be taken against you by default for a monthly and which exceeds the inviscional limits of all lower Control of the inviscional limits of all limits of all limits of the inviscional limits of all limits of a which exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower Courts, and the costs of this action OPY

Document 24-2

Filed 06/30/2008

Page 2 of 15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	Index No. 106034/2007
JULIA A. PICHARDO,	
Plaintiff,	
- against -	VERIFIED COMPLAINT
NEW YORK UNIVERSITY and JANE DOE - Student,	
Defendants.	

Plaintiff, by her attorney, JOSEPH T. MULLEN, JR., ESQ., as and for her Verified Complaint, respectfully alleges upon information and belief:

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 1. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the plaintiff was a resident of the City of Patterson and State of New Jersey.
- 2. That at all times herein mentioned, defendant, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY, was and still is a corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 3. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant, JANE DOE Student (name being fictitious), was a resident of the State of New York.
- 4. At all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY, owned the premises known as Hayden Hall, located at 33 Washington Square West, in the County of New York, City and State of New York, and more specifically, the dining hall area at said location (hereinafter referred to as "the subject premises").

☑ 003/015

- On or about May 4, 2004, the defendant operated the subject premises, and was 5. required to keep same in good repair.
- On or about May 4, 2004, the defendant maintained the subject premises, and was 6. required to keep same in good repair.
- On or about May 4, 2004, the defendant managed the subject premises, and was required to keep same in good repair.
- On or about May 4, 2004, the defendant supervised the subject premises, and was required to keep same in good repair.
- On or about May 4, 2004, the defendant inspected the subject premises, and was 9. required to keep same in good repair.
- On or about May 4, 2004, the defendant repaired the subject premises, and was 10. required to keep same in good repair.
- Upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant 11. owned, operated, designed, maintained, controlled, supervised, inspected and repaired the dining room area and stairwell doors in the subject premises.
- Upon information and belief, the defendant was responsible for the design, use, 12. control, maintenance, repair and inspection of the dining room area and stairwell doors located at the subject premises.
 - There existed at the subject premises a defective door at stairwell C. 13.
- Said defective, door constituted a broken, hazardous, dangerous, defective and/or 14. hazardous condition.

- 15. That on or about May 4, 2004, the defendant, JANE DOE Student, opened the door at stairwell C, causing it to strike plaintiff, JULIA A. PICHARDO, while she was exiting the dining hall at the subject premises.
- 16. As a result of the defective door, on or about May 4, 2004, the plaintiff, JULIA A. PICHARDO, sustained serious and permanent injuries.
- 17. The injuries to the plaintiff, JULIA A. PICHARDO, were caused by the negligence, carelessness and recklessness of the defendants, their agents and/or employees.
- 18. The injuries to the plaintiff, JULIA A. PICHARDO, were caused by the negligence, carelessness and recklessness of the defendants, their agents and/or employees who failed to exercise due and reasonable care under the circumstances, so as to avoid injuring the plaintiff.
- 19. The defendant had sufficient time and notice to correct said dangerous and defective conditions at the subject premises.
- 20. The defendant had sufficient time and notice to correct said dangerous and defective condition, but neglected to do so, resulting in plaintiff's injuries.
- 21. The said occurrence which resulted in injuries to plaintiff was by reason of the negligence of the defendant in the ownership, operation, creation, control, inspection, design, construction, maintenance and repair of the subject premises
- 22. That the limitations set forth in Article 16 of the CPLR are not applicable to this action in that one or more of the exceptions enumerated in CPLR 1600-1603 apply.
- 23. By reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff was caused to suffer pain, discomfort, disability, serious and permanent injury and was damaged thereby.
- 24. By reason of the foregoing, plaintiff has sustained serious personal injuries, pain, disability and medical expenses, loss of earnings capacity and capability, emotional distress and

Document 24-2

Filed 06/30/2008

Page 5 of 15

will, in the future, incur further pain, disability, medical expenses and loss of earnings capacity and capability.

That as a result of the defendants' negligence, the plaintiff has been damaged in an 25. amount, which exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower Courts.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against the defendants, who are jointly and severally liable, on the First Cause of Action on behalf of plaintiff, JULIA A. PICHARDO, in an amount, which exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower Courts; together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated: New York, New York May 2, 2007

Yours, etc.

JOSEPH T. MULLEN, JR. & ASSOCIATES

Thomas M. DeSimone

Attorneys for Plaintiff 30 Vesey Street, 15th Floor

New York, New York 10007

(212) 766-1177

Document 24-2

Filed 06/30/2008

Page 6 of 15

STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

SS:

The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, states, that affirmant is the attorney for the plaintiff, that affirmant has read the foregoing Summons and Verified Complaint and knows the contents thereof, and that the same is true to affirmant's knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and that as to those matters affirmant believes it to be true.

That the reason why this verification is made by affirmant and not by the plaintiff is because the plaintiff is not within New York County where the attorneys maintain their offices; and that the source of affirmant's knowledge, and the grounds of belief as to those matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief are correspondence and investigations which have been made concerning the subject matter of this action, and which are in the possession of the said attorneys.

The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under the penalties of perjury.

Dated: New York, New York

May 2, 2007

THOMAS M. DESIMONE

Document 24-2

Filed 06/30/2008

Page 7 of 15

Index No/06034

Year 2007

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JULIA A. PICHARDO,

Plaintiff,

- against -

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY and JANE DOE - Student,

Defendants.

SUMMONS and COMPLAINT

JOSEPH T. MULLEN, JR. & ASSOCIATES
Autorneys for Plaintiff

30 Vesey Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10007 (212) 766-1177

To:

Attorney(s) for

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 22 N.Y.C.R.R. §130-1.1a

I hereby certify that pursuant to 22 N.Y.C.R.R. §130-1.1a, the foregoing Summons and Verified

Complaint is not frivolous nor frivolously presented.

Dated: May 2, 2007

Thomas M. DeSimone

Recenies W12/07 Holen Jean-Boptist

Document 24-2

Filed 06/30/2008

Page 8 of 15

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JULIA A. PICHARDO,,

Plaintiff,

-against-

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY and JANE DOE - Student,

Defendants.

Docket No.: 07 civ 06034

VERIFIED ANSWER

Defendant, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY ("NYU"), by and through its undersigned counsel of record in this matter, GOLDBERG & ASSOCIATES, as and for its Answer to Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, alleges as follows upon information and belief:

ANSWERING THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 1. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "1" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "2" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint except admits that NEW YORK UNIVERSITY was, and still is, a not-for-profit corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 3. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "3" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 4. Denies in the form alleged each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "4" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.

- 4. Denies in the form alleged each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "4" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- Denies in the form alleged each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "5" of Plaintiff's
 Verified Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- 6. Denies in the form alleged each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "6" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- Denies in the form alleged each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "7" of Plaintiff's
 Verified Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- 8. Denies in the form alleged each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "8" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- Denies in the form alleged each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "9" of Plaintiff's
 Verified Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- 10. Denies in the form alleged each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "10" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- 11. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "11" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- 12. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "12" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- 13. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "13" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 14. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "14" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.

☑ 010/015

- 15. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "15" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint and refers all questions of fact to the trier of the facts.
- 16. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "16" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 17. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "17" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 18. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "18" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 19. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "19" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 20. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "20" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 21. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "21" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 22. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "22" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- 23. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "23" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 24. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "24" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 25. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "25" of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.

☑ 011/015

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Verified Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be 26. granted.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

- That the injuries and damages allegedly sustained by Plaintiff JULIA A. PICHARDO was 27. brought about or aggravated by the negligent and careless conduct of said Plaintiff.
- That by reason of the foregoing, any judgment which may be recovered by Plaintiff must 28. be reduced by the amount which is attributable to the degree of culpability.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff JULIA A. PICHARDO's injuries, if any, were sustained, in whole or in part, by 29. the contributory negligence or assumption of risk by said Plaintiff and, therefore, Plaintiff's damages must be reduced by the amount of culpable conduct attributed to said Plaintiff.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

If NYU is found liable, such liability is less than or equal to 50% of the total liability of all 30. persons who may be found liable and, therefore, NYU's liability shall be limited to its equitable shares, pursuant to CPLR Article 16.

<u>AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

NYU is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief, alleges that 31. any injury, loss or damage, if any, sustained by Plaintiff JULIA A. PICHARDO was directly and proximately caused and contributed to by risks which were fully and actually known to said Plaintiff who fully and actually appreciated the nature and scope of the hazards created thereby and who nevertheless voluntarily assumed such risk and the potential consequences thereof.

☑ 012/015

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

NYU did not breach or violate any legal duty owed to Plaintiff. 32.

<u>AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

NYU neither committed nor failed to commit any act which damaged Plaintiff. 33.

<u>AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

Any loss, damage or injuries sustained by Plaintiff was occasioned by the acts or omissions 34. of Plaintiff.

<u>AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

If Plaintiff sustained damages or incurred expenses as alleged, the same are not due or 35. caused by the fault, lack of care, negligence or breach of contract on the part of NYU.

AS AND FOR A TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff JULIA A. PICHARDO, by exercise of reasonable care, could have avoided the 36. matter being sued upon.

AS AND FOR AN ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff has failed to mitigate or minimize the damages allegedly sustained. 37.

AS AND FOR A TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Verified Complaint is barred by the Doctrine of Legal Estoppel. 38.

AS AND FOR A THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Verified Complaint is barred by the Doctrine of Equitable Estoppel. 39.

<u>AS AND FOR A FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

NYU had no notice or knowledge of any alleged defect or hazardous condition. 40.

AS AND FOR A FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The negligence of those responsible for the accident or the occurrence alleged in the 41. Verified Complaint constituted a separate, independent, superseding, intervening culpable act or acts which constitute the sole proximate cause of the accident or occurrence herein.

<u>AS AND FOR A SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

To the extent that Plaintiff JULIA A. PICHARDO sustained an injury at the time and place 42. alleged in the Verified Complaint, which is expressly denied by this answering Defendant, such injury and occurrence was the result of an open and apparent hazard that was an unavoidable feature of the activity Plaintiff was voluntarily performing at the time and place alleged, and not as a result of any breach of any common law or statutory duty or obligation by this answering Defendant.

<u>AS AND FOR A SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

Any losses, damages, or injuries sustained by Plaintiff herein, all of which is expressly 43. denied by this answering defendant, were caused by the culpable conduct of some third person, persons, entity or entities, over whom this answering defendant neither had nor exercised control.

<u>AS AND FOR A EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

Any verdict, judgment or decision that might be obtained by Plaintiff against this answering 44. Defendant must be reduced by the amount of any payments obtained by or paid on behalf of Plaintiff by any collateral-source, pursuant to Section 4545(c) of the New York State Civil Practice Law and Rules and as otherwise determined by the within Court at the time of trial.

Document 24-2

Filed 06/30/2008

Page 14 of 15

AS AND FOR A NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

45. NYU hereby gives notice that it intends to rely upon such other defenses as may become apparent during the discovery proceeding in this case and hereby reserves the right to amend this Verified Answer to assert any such defenses.

WHEREFORE, NYU respectfully requests that the Verified Complaint of Plaintiff, JULIA A.

PICHARDO, be dismissed with costs assessed to said Plaintiff.

Dated: New York, New York June 29, 2007

Yours, etc.,

GOLDBERG & ASSOCIATES

Attorneys for Defendant New York University

By:

DANIEL J. FOX (22 NYCRR §130-1.1)

39 Broadway, 17th Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 968-2300

TO:

Thomas M. DeSimone, Esq. Joseph T. Mullen, Jr. & Associates 30 Vesey Street, 15th Floor New York, NY 10007 212-766-1177

Document 24-2

Filed 06/30/2008

Page 15 of 15

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NEW YORK)
) ss.:
COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

CARLOS A. VASQUEZ, being duly sworn deposes and says:

I am not a party to the action, am over 18 years of age and reside in New York County, State of New York.

On June 29, 2007 I served the within ANSWER and AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, by depositing a true copy thereof enclosed in a post-paid wrapper, in an official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the U.S. Postal Service with New York State, addressed to each of the following persons at the last known address set forth after each name.

TO: Thomas M. DeSimone, Esq.
Joseph T. Mullen, Jr. & Associates
30 Vesey Street, 15th Floor
New York, NY 10007

CARLOS A. VASQUEZ

Sworn to before me on this 29th day of June, 2007.

Notany Public

DANIEL J. FOX
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02FO6096614
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires Aug. 4, 2007.